A Psychoanalytic View of Addiction

The role of childhood trauma in adult addiction from a psychodynamics point of view

It's almost a cliche that our childhoods shape who we become, but from a psychodynamic perspective, this idea is the basis of our entire psychological blueprint. When it comes to something as complex and painful as addiction, this viewpoint suggests we're not looking at it just as a bad habit or a lack of willpower. Instead, it frames addiction as a tragic, deeply ingrained attempt to solve a problem, a problem that often begins with trauma in childhood.

At the core of psychodynamic thought is the concept of the unconscious mind which is a vast reservoir of feelings, thoughts, and memories that are too painful or overwhelming for our conscious mind to handle (Freud, 1975). Traumatic childhood experiences, like neglect, abuse or witnessing violence, are often banished to this unconscious space. However, they don't just disappear away. They simmer beneath the surface, creating anxiety, depression, rage or a sense of emptiness that the person may not even be able to name.

Here, the Self-Medication Hypothesis becomes a foundation of the modern psychodynamic understanding of addiction. Psychiatrist Edward Khantzian (1985) proposed that people don't choose their drug of choice randomly. Rather, they are unconsciously drawn to a substance that specifically soothes their unique emotional pain. The substance becomes a kind of unconscious prescription. For example, a man who grew up in a chaotic, violent home where he had to suppress his own anger to survive. As an adult, he might find himself inexplicably drawn to opioids. From a psychodynamic view, the opioids aren't for a high, they are a powerful chemical agent that successfully numbs the terrifying, unconscious rage he has carried since childhood.

In another case, someone who experienced emotional neglect and grew up feeling invisible and empty might be drawn to stimulants like cocaine, which provide a temporary surge to euphoria, power, a feeling of being vibrantly alive, basically the very feelings their early environment denied them.

To protect ourselves from the pain stored in the unconscious, the mind develops what Anna Freud called defense mechanisms. These are unconscious strategies used to reduce anxiety, while they can be healthy, in the context of trauma and addiction, they become a kind of guilty armor that prevents true healing. The addiction itself can be seen as a massive, all-consuming defense mechanism, but it's supported by a whole squad of others.

Denial is the most famous one. The addict who insists, “I can stop anytime I want,” isn’t really lying. On an unconscious level, they are deploying denial to shield themselves from the devastating reality of their powerlessness over the substance, and more deeply, the original trauma that fuels it.

Rationalization is the act of coming up with good reasons for destructive behavior. For instance, a woman might say she drinks heavily every night because of her high stress job. While the job might be stressful, the psychodynamic perspective would suggest this is a convenient, conscious explanation that masks the deeper, unconscious reasons, perhaps to numb the lingering terror from an abusive childhood (Cramer, 2006).

There are plenty of other defense mechanisms as well that follow the same pattern,

Psychodynamic theory, especially Attachment Theory developed by John Bowlby, argues that our first relationships with caregivers create an internal working model or how we see ourselves, others and the world. If a child’s caregivers are consistently available, responsive and loving, the child develops a secure attachment, feeling safe and worthy of love. They learn to regulate their own emotions because they had a secure base to turn to (Bowlby, 1969).

But what if the caregiver is neglectful, inconsistent or abusive? The child develops an insecure attachment (anxious, avoidant, or disorganized). They learn that the world is unsafe, that they are unworthy of love, and crucially, they never learn how to self-soothe in a healthy way.

For example, a young woman who grew up with a mother who was battling her own depression and was often emotionally unavailable. The daughter develops an anxious attachment, constantly craving connection but terrified of abandonment. As an adult, she struggles with intense anxiety in her relationships. She discovers that alcohol quickly, and reliably quiets this anxiety. In a sense, the bottle becomes the perfectly reliable caregivers she never had. It's always there, it does the same thing every time, and it never leaves. The substance forms an attachment bond that is powerful, pathological substitute for the human connection she missed out on.

It is further explained by object relations theory, which suggests we internalize representations of our early caregivers so if that internal object is frightening or absent, a substance can become a more reliable replacement (Volkan, 1996).

When we look at addiction through this psychodynamic lens, its impossible to see it as a simple choice. Instead, we see a story of survival, we see a child's desperate attempts to cope with unbearable pain, attempts that were adaptive at the time but became a devastating prison in adulthood. It shifts the question from "Why don't you just stop?" to the more compassionate, and useful question: "What happened to you, and how can we help you find a safer way to heal the wound?".

The concept of psychological addiction vs physical addiction from a psychoanalytical viewpoint in case of alcohol drinking.

When we think of alcohol addiction, the first image that often comes to mind is physical, like the shaking hands, the morning-after sickness, the body's desperate craving for a drink. This is the tangible, biological reality of physical dependence. But from a psychoanalytical viewpoint, the psychological addiction i.e., the mind's secret reason for drinking is the true engine of dependency. The physical addiction is simply the body learning the mind's desperate lesson all too well.

To understand psychological addiction, we first have to look at the classic Freudian model of the psyche which is the id, the ego, and the superego. The id is our primal, instinctual core, driven by the pleasure principle. It wants what it wants, now. The superego is our internalized conscience, the voice of societal rules, the guilt and morality. In the middle is the poor, burdened ego, which tries to mediate this constant internal conflict (Freud, 1923). For many people, this internal battle creates a constant state of tension, anxiety and guilt.

Alcohol enters this scene as a powerful chemical negotiator. Its primary psychological function is to suppress the superego. It quiets the nagging voice of judgement and self-criticism, allowing the repressed desires of the id to come forward.

For example, a man who is shy, dutiful, and works in a highly structured office environment. His superego is likely rigid and powerful, keeping his more assertive or aggressive impulses in check. After a few drinks at a work event, however, he becomes loud, cracks inappropriate jokes, and tells his boss what he really thinks. He is not becoming a different person, rather the alcohol has temporarily silenced his internal censor. The psychological addiction, then, is not to the taste of the beer, but to the feeling of liberation from his own oppressive superego. He becomes addicted to the brief, intoxicating vacation from himself.

So, why would someone's internal conflict be so severe that they would turn to a chemical solution? Psychoanalysis suggests the roots often lie in our earliest developmental stages. Freud proposed that if a child's needs were not adequately met during the oral stage (from birth to around 18 months), they could develop an oral fixation. During this period, the world is experienced through the mouth like sucking, tasting, crying. It is the primary source of comfort and connection to the mother.

If this stage is marked by neglect, inconsistent feeding, or a lack of nurturing, the unmet needs for comfort can persist unconsciously into adulthood. This can manifest in habits like smoking, overeating, or most relevantly, drinking.

For example, a woman whose mother was cold and emotionally distant. As an adult, she suffers from a vague but persistent feeling of emptiness and a deep-seated anxiety about relationships. She discovers that a glass of wine calms her nerves and also provides a sensation of warmth spreading through her body. From a psychoanalytic perspective, the act of drinking the soothing liquid is an unconscious reenactment of the infantile comfort she never received. The bottle becomes a substitute for the good mother, one that is reliable, and always available (Fenichel, 1945). Her psychological addiction is to this symbolic fulfillment of a primary, unmet developmental need.

Psychoanalysis does not deny the brutal reality of physical addiction. Instead, it sees it as the inevitable outcome of a relentless psychological consumption. The mind's repeated use of alcohol as a defense mechanism eventually forces the body to adapt. The constant presence of alcohol rewires the brain's chemistry until it can no longer function normally without it.

The physical dependence becomes the ultimate enforcer of the psychological need. The original problem might have been a fear of intimacy or repressed anger, but now, a new problem is layered on top i.e., the fear of withdrawal.

The woman in the above example, initially drank to soothe her feeling of emptiness (a psychological motive). After years of this pattern, she now wakes up shaking and sweating, needing a drink simply to feel steady enough to start her day. The physical withdrawal symptoms i.e., the shakes, the nausea, can be seen as the raw, unfiltered anxiety and psychic pain that the alcohol was holding back, now erupting in bodily form. Her body has now become the prison that ensures she can never abandon her original, psychological coping strategy. The physical addiction is the body screaming for the very substance the mind once chose to silence its own screams.

Looking at it this way, the line between psychological and physical addiction blurs. One flows directly into the other. It reframes addiction as a human tragedy, not a moral failing. It suggests that the person is not fighting a chemical, but fighting the ghosts of their own past. This perspective doesn't lessen the severity of physical addiction, in many way, it makes it more intense. It shows us a body held hostage by a wound in the mind. It tells us that true recovery must go beyond simply detoxing the body. It requires a courageous journey inward to face the conflicts and sorrows that made the bottle seem like the only possible comfort in the first place.

References

Bowlby, J. (1969). *Attachment and Loss, Vol. 1: Attachment*. Basic Books.

Cramer, P. (2006). *Protecting the self: Defense mechanisms in action*. The Guilford Press.

Fenichel, O. (1945). *The psychoanalytic theory of neurosis*. W. W. Norton & Co.

Freud, S. (1961). The ego and the id. In J. Strachey (Ed. and Trans.), *The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud* (Vol. 19, pp. 1–66). Hogarth Press.

Freud, S. (2005). The unconscious. In *The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud* (J. Strachey, Trans., Vol. 14, pp. 159-204). Vintage.

Khantzian, E. J. (1985). The self-medication hypothesis of addictive disorders: Focus on heroin and cocaine dependence. *The American Journal of Psychiatry, 142*(11), 1259–1264.

Volkan, K. (1995). *Searching for a perfect solution: The effect of illicit drug use on the internal world of the addict*. Jason Aronson.